

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON, ALBERTA T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION

NO. 0098 313/10

The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton, AB T5J 2C3

Altus Group Ltd. 17327 106A Avenue Edmonton, AB T5S 1M7

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on October 20, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description	
1075514	9301 49 Street NW	Plan: 7622073 Block: 4 Lot: 12, etc.	
Assessed Value	<b>Assessment Type</b>	Assessment Notice for:	
\$8,682,000	Annual New	2010	

Before: Board Officer:

Jack Schmidt, Presiding Officer Howard Worrell, Board Member Petra Hagemann, Board Member J. Halicki

Persons Appearing: Complainant Persons Appearing: Respondent

Chris Buchanan, Agent Richard Fraser, Assessor

Senior Consultant, Altus Group Ltd.

Assessment and Taxation Branch

## **PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the CARB's composition and Board Members expressed no bias with respect to this roll.

The Respondent proposed a recommendation to reduce the assessment for this roll which was acceptable to the Complainant's agent.

## **ISSUE(S)**

- 1) Land value in the 2010 assessment is too high.
- 2) Depreciation for the improvement value is incorrect.

#### **LEGISLATION**

## The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

- s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.
- s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration
  - (a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
  - (b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
  - (c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The subject property, comprising approximately 311,394 sq. ft., is located in the Eastgate Business Park subdivision.

#### **COMPLAINANT'S POSITION**

The Complainant's agent presented no evidence but accepted a proposed assessment reduction offered by the City of Edmonton.

## **RESPONDENT'S POSITION**

The Respondent proposed that the 2010 assessment be reduced to \$8,440,500.

## **DECISION**

The decision of the Board is to revise the 2010 assessment from \$8,682,000 to the Respondent's recommendation of \$8,440,500.

# **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

The Respondent presented a revised assessment for the subject property 2010 assessment. This revised assessment was accepted by the Complainant as being its correct assessment. Since no other issues were outstanding in the complaint hearing, the Board accepted the proposed revised assessment as presented by the City of Edmonton.

### **DISSENTING DECISION AND REASONS**

There were no dissenting decisions/reasons.

Dated this twenty-seventh day of O	ober, 2010 A.D. at	the City of Edmonton, i	n the Province of
Alberta.		•	

Presiding Officer	

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board City of Edmonton, Assessment and Taxation Branch Canwest Publishing Inc.